

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

6 November 1963

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Headquarters Training

SUBJECT: CI Training for OSI (Air Force ) Officers

1. About a month ago I told you about a request from OSI to CI/Liaison for CI training--particularly in such CE fields as double agents--for certain selected officers of theirs. It was decided here that for a variety of reasons it would be inappropriate to give the training, and [REDACTED] CI/Liaison, was so informed about 22 October but it was suggested she tell OSI simply that we could not take on the additional load in the foreseeable future. I was talking to her this morning about the Bureau's participation in CI/OPS training, and she told me unofficially what had happened within the CI Staff since. She laid stress on the fact that she was telling me unofficially; Ray [REDACTED] DC/CI, intend to call me offic-25X1A9a  
ially on it, and as I understood [REDACTED] may call Mr. Baird. 25X1A

2. Briefly, when [REDACTED] heard of our position he is supposed to have said he considered it wrong and in conflict with the Inspector General's report of a few years ago in which he said the Agency should assume a greater responsibility for intelligence training of the ~~military~~ military. He then talked to [REDACTED] about it, who reportedly felt even more strongly about it and said that if OTR couldn't do it the CI Staff would. 25X1A9a 25X1A9a

3. A meeting was held yeasterday between members of the CI Staff and Colonels Rife and Wm. Mann of OSI (the latter being the one who will follow through on it) in which the CI/OPS course was mentioned and described as the Agency's advanced CI course, and everyone seems to have agree that it should be patterned after it. A member of the CI Staff--I believe it was [REDACTED]--did point out that most OTR courses are scheduled a year in advance, so presumably no one would expect us to do it next week, but the tide would appear to be against ~~the~~ us. 25X1A9a

4. I'm not clear as to whether someone from the staff raised the question of the sensitivity of ~~XXXXX~~ CE instruction which would necessarily to some degree involve Agency cases, ~~XXXXXX~~ or whether one of the OSI officers volunteered it. In any event one of them suggested they would be perfectly willing to have us polygraph their officers before the course--which of course is nonsense.

5. One of the OSI officers also said the Air Force had or could get access to one of several areas for the training, and mentioned Fort Meyer by way of example.

6. CI Staff suggested the Chief of OSI write the Agency a letter [REDACTED] [REDACTED]